

Table 1: Priority Ranking Based on Average Rating\*

Table 1: Thority hanking based on Average hating				
ТОРІС	Priority			
	Average			
Access to Information	70			
Judicial Selection / Campaign Finance	69			
Efficient Scheduling of Cases	66			
Survey	66			
Elimination of Bias	65			
Equal Access to the Courts	64			
Technology	64			
Overcriminalization	53			
Jury Duty	48			
Civics Education	44			
Mass Incarceration / Overincarceration	42			
Juvenile Age	41			
Performance Measurements	41			
Judicial Salaries	36			
Courthouse Facilities	25			

<sup>\*</sup>NOTE: A first priority ranking was valued at 100 points, a second priority ranking was valued at 90 points, etc. The total points then were divided by the number of survey responses to arrive at the average ranking. For example, if all members had ranked an item as first priority, that item would have received a score of 100.



Table 2: Priority Ranking Based only on First, Second, and Third Place Votes\*

				Priority
TOPIC	1st Place	2nd Place	3rd Place	Points
	Votes	Votes	Votes	Total
Judicial Selection / Campaign Finance	3	1	1	400
Access to Information	1	3	2	366
Survey	3	0	0	300
Efficient Scheduling of Cases	1	2	0	233
Equal Access to the Courts	0	3	0	200
Elimination of Bias	1	0	2	167
Civics Education	1	0	1	133
Performance Measurements	1	0	1	133
Technology	0	1	2	133
Mass Incarceration / Overincarceration	1	0	0	100
Jury Duty	0	1	1	100
Juvenile Age	0	0	3	100
Judicial Salaries	0	1	0	67
Overcriminalization	0	0	0	0
Courthouse Facilities	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>NOTE: A first priority ranking was valued at 100 points, a second priority ranking was valued at 66.6 points, and a third priority ranking was valued at 33.3 points. The total points then were added to arrive at a priority ranking based only on the first, second, and third place votes.